



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,646	08/25/2003	Hiroaki Takano	KON-1815	2515
	7590 04/05/2007 RCANTLLIP	EXAMINER		
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			PATEL, KANJIBHAI B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2624	
<u>·</u>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/647,646	TAKANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kanji Patel	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to become	NICATION. v a reply be timely filed SONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-64,77-84 and 87-94 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 65-76,85,86,95 and 96 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abe tion is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Intervie Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/01/2005 is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. Drawings filed 8/25/2003 have been approved by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and

Art Unit: 2624

the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims 65-76, 85-86 and 95-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the

claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 65-76 define **a computer program** embodying functional descriptive material.

However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV).

That is, the scope of the presently claimed **computer program** can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-64, 77-84, 87-94 are allowed.

The prior art on record fails to teach or fairly suggest that the pre-processing comprises to transform the characteristic of the captured-image data into the frequency

Art Unit: 2624

scene-referred frequency characteristic by removing the effect of a frequency characteristic specific to the image-capturing device from the frequency characteristic of the captured-image data as recited in independent claims 1, 7, 29-32, 49-53 and 59.

Other prior art cited

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fukui et al. (US 5,585,927) disclose a digital image forming apparatus having gradation characteristic setting means.

Kanamori (US 6,313,924 B2) discloses an image forming apparatus and method of correcting the characteristic of each body by printing reference pattern in the matching and reading the printed pattern again.

Sasai (US 6,701,024 B1) discloses an image processing apparatus.

Art Unit: 2624

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kanji Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7454.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8 a.m. to 6:30

p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lillis Eileen can be reached on (571) 272-6928 The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kanji Patel Art Unit 2624

3/31/07

geslatel

Page 5